

# United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 23-50077  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

July 10, 2023

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

ARMANDO OROZCO-CALDERON,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:22-CR-651-1

---

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and DOUGLAS, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

Armando Orozco-Calderon appeals the sentence imposed after his guilty plea conviction for illegal reentry subsequent to removal, pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b)(2). Orozco-Calderon contends that it violates the Constitution to treat a prior conviction that increases the statutory maximum under § 1326(b) as a sentencing factor, rather than as an element of the

---

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 23-50077

offense. Orozco-Calderon concedes that this issue is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v. United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but he seeks to preserve the issue for future review. In addition, he has filed an unopposed motion for summary disposition.

As Orozco-Calderon concedes, the sole issue raised on appeal is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres*. See *United States v. Pervis*, 937 F.3d 546, 553-54 (5th Cir. 2019); *United States v. Wallace*, 759 F.3d 486, 497 (5th Cir. 2014). Because his position “is clearly right as a matter of law so that there can be no substantial question as to the outcome of the case,” *Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis*, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969), summary disposition is proper. Accordingly, Orozco-Calderon’s motion is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.