

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

---

No. 23-30615  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

November 2, 2023

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

MINH VO,

*Plaintiff—Appellant,*

*versus*

ENTERGY COMPANY; CITY SEWER,

*Defendants—Appellees.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Louisiana  
USDC No. 3:22-CV-255

---

Before CLEMENT, ELROD, and HO, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

Minh Vo appeals the district court's order dismissing his amended complaint for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. For the following reasons, we AFFIRM.

Vo and his family live in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Vo's *pro se* amended complaint alleges that Entergy Company and City Sewer damaged the sewer

---

\* This opinion is not designated for publication. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 23-30615

system in the backyard of his home which caused his toilets to overflow and flooded areas of his home. Vo alleges that the flooding led to mold, which, in turn, caused health issues for him and his family.

On the district court's fillable form for *pro se* complaints, the first item reads: "State the grounds for filing this case in Federal Court (include federal statutes and/or U.S. Constitutional provisions, if you know them)." Vo left the answer box underneath this item blank. On the line for listing defendants, Vo stated that Entergy Company is a business located in Alabama and City Sewer is a business located in Louisiana.

The district court dismissed the amended complaint for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. First, the court held that Vo had failed to establish federal-question jurisdiction because the amended complaint did not identify any federal law that had allegedly been violated nor did the factual allegations therein establish a colorable issue of federal law. Second, the court held that it lacked diversity jurisdiction because, as pled, the parties were not completely diverse—Vo and City Sewer were both citizens of Louisiana.<sup>1</sup> We agree with the district court's reasoning in its entirety. Vo remains free to pursue his claims in state court, subject, of course, to any applicable statutes of limitation.

AFFIRMED.

---

<sup>1</sup> The court also noted that, based on the public records of the Louisiana Secretary of State, it appeared that Entergy was a Louisiana citizen as well.