United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit United Stape

No. 21-30728

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED May 19, 2022

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

RAOUL A. GALAN, JR.,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

STEPHEN MICHAEL PETIT, JR.,

Defendant—Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana USDC No. 2:20-CV-2235

Before Jolly, Willett, and Engelhardt, Circuit Judges.
Per Curiam:*

The district court dismissed the pro se plaintiff's case in a thorough and well-reasoned opinion. Unsatisfied with the district court's ruling, the plaintiff appeals. However, because his homemade brief on appeal begins and ends with a mishmash of plagiarized history and legalese that is so unintelligible as to be utterly frivolous, any issues he attempts to raise on

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 21-30728

appeal are abandoned, and the district court is accordingly AFFIRMED. See FED. R. APP. P. 28; Cinel v. Connick, 15 F.3d 1338, 1345 (5th Cir. 1994) ("A party who inadequately briefs an issue is considered to have abandoned the claim.").