

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

August 6, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 20-10146  
Conference Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Plaintiff—Appellee,*

*versus*

KENNETH DAVID SCOTT, *also known as* ZILLA,

*Defendant—Appellant.*

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:19-CR-61-1

---

Before KING, SOUTHWICK, and HO, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Kenneth David Scott has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 20-10146

Cir. 2011). Scott has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Scott's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Scott's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.