IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-51086 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED June 15, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JOSE BENITEZ-CALDERON,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 7:19-CR-163-1

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, HO, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Jose Benitez-Calderon appeals his conviction and 27-month sentence imposed following his guilty plea conviction for being found unlawfully present in the United States after previous deportation. He argues that his sentence was imposed under an unconstitutional statute, 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b). Specifically, he contends that in order to trigger a sentencing enhancement under § 1326(b), the fact of a prior conviction must be alleged in the indictment

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 19-51086

and proven to a jury; therefore, he asserts that § 1326(b) is unconstitutional. He correctly concedes that his argument is foreclosed by *Almendarez-Torres v*. *United States*, 523 U.S. 224 (1998), but he raises the issue to preserve it for further possible review. *See United States v. Wallace*, 759 F.3d 486, 497 (5th Cir. 2014); *United States v. Pineda-Arrellano*, 492 F.3d 624, 625-26 (5th Cir. 2007).

The Government has filed an unopposed motion for summary affirmance and, alternatively, seeks an extension of time to file its brief. Because the issue is foreclosed, summary affirmance is appropriate. See Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969).

Accordingly, the Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, the Government's alternative motion for an extension of time to file a brief is DENIED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.