

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

March 2, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 19-10752
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ENCARNACION HURTADO-CRUZ, also known as “Pancho,”

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:17-CR-194-2

Before JONES, HIGGINSON, and WILLET, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Encarnacion Hurtado-Cruz has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Hurtado-Cruz has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Hurtado-Cruz’s claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 19-10752

without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Hurtado-Cruz's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.