

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 18-40442
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

January 4, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

YEZEL EUNICE NEVAREZ-MARTELL,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 7:17-CR-591-1

Before KING, SOUTHWICK, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Yezel Eunice Nevarez-Martell pleaded guilty to importing 500 grams or more of methamphetamine. Nevarez-Martell contends that her conviction was not supported by an adequate factual basis because the Government did not meet its obligation to prove that she had knowledge of the type and quantity of drugs involved in her offense.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 18-40442

As Nevarez-Martell concedes, her sole appellate argument is foreclosed by *United States v. Betancourt*, 586 F.3d 303, 308-09 (5th Cir. 2009), which determined that *Flores-Figueroa v. United States*, 556 U.S. 646 (2009), did not overturn *United States v. Gamez-Gonzalez*, 319 F.3d 695 (5th Cir. 2003), and that the Government is not required to prove knowledge of the drug type and quantity as an element of a 21 U.S.C. § 841 drug trafficking offense. The Government thus did not have to prove that Nevarez-Martell was aware of the type and quantity of controlled substances involved in her offense under the analogous statute of 21 U.S.C. § 960(a)(1), (b)(1). *See United States v. Zuniga-Martinez*, 512 F. App'x 428, 428 (5th Cir. 2013).

Therefore, Nevarez-Martell's motion for summary disposition is GRANTED, and the district court's judgment is AFFIRMED.