

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 16-30918
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 3, 2018

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

IN RE: DEEPWATER HORIZON

LAKE EUGENIE LAND & DEVELOPMENT, INCORPORATED; ET AL,

Plaintiffs

v.

BP EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION, INCORPORATED; BP AMERICA
PRODUCTION COMPANY; BP, P.L.C.,

Defendants - Appellees

v.

DAVID ANDREW CHRISTENSON,

Movant – Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Louisiana

USDC No. 2:10-MD-2179

USDC No. 2:12-CV-970

No. 16-30918

Before KING, SOUTHWICK, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

David Andrew Christenson appeals the district court's denial of review of the post-reconsideration incompleteness notice sent to him by the Court Supervised Settlement Program authorized to administer the *Deepwater Horizon* Economic and Property Damages Settlement. Also pending is a motion for reconsideration of the clerk's order denying Christenson's motion for leave to file a third supplemental memorandum.

This court must always be mindful of its jurisdiction and may consider the issue *sua sponte*. *Mosley v. Cozby*, 813 F.2d 659, 660 (5th Cir. 1987). In a civil case, a timely notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement. *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007). The notice of appeal in a civil action must be filed within 30 days of entry of the judgment or order from which the appeal is taken. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(1)(A). The district court's judgment denying Christenson's request for review was entered on the docket on July 7, 2016. The notice of appeal was filed on August 11, 2016, after the 30-day deadline for filing a notice of appeal.

Thus, the notice of appeal was untimely, and this court lacks jurisdiction over the appeal of the district court's denial of review. *See Bowles*, 551 U.S. at 214. This appeal is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. *See id.* All pending motions are DENIED as moot.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.