

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

April 27, 2018

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

---

No. 16-10724  
Summary Calendar

---

YONY RENEA DIAZ,

Petitioner-Appellant

v.

LORIE DAVIS, DIRECTOR, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL  
JUSTICE, CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION,

Respondent-Appellee

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:14-CV-4104

---

Before DAVIS, CLEMENT, and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Petitioner Yony Renea Diaz, Texas inmate # 01314510, was convicted by a jury of murder and sentenced to life imprisonment. He filed a state habeas application that was pending in the state trial court for over three years and before the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals for an additional month before it was dismissed as non-compliant with the filing rules set forth in Texas Rule of

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

## No. 16-10724

Appellate Procedure 73.1. Over eight months after that dismissal, Diaz filed a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition, which the district court dismissed with prejudice as time barred. We granted a COA as to the district court's decision not to apply equitable tolling.

The one-year limitations period governing federal habeas petitions, 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d), is subject to equitable tolling in “rare and exceptional circumstances.” *Hardy v. Quarterman*, 577 F.3d 596, 598 (5th Cir. 2009) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). “[A] petitioner is entitled to equitable tolling only if he shows (1) that he has been pursuing his rights diligently, and (2) that some extraordinary circumstance stood in his way and prevented timely filing.” *Holland v. Florida*, 560 U.S. 631, 649 (2010) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

We may affirm the district court's dismissal of Diaz's § 2254 petition on any ground supported by the record. *See Palacios v. Stephens*, 723 F.3d 600, 604 (5th Cir. 2013). Without deciding whether this case presents an extraordinary circumstance, we are persuaded by the respondent's argument that Diaz did not pursue his rights diligently after his state habeas application was dismissed. *See Holland*, 560 U.S. at 649. The unexplained eight-month delay in the filing of his § 2254 petition is on par with other unexplained delays that we have held to be insufficient to demonstrate the diligence required for the application of equitable tolling. *See Palacios*, 723 F.3d at 606 (citing *Koumjian v. Thaler*, 484 F. App'x 966, 969-70 (5th Cir. 2012); *Coleman v. Johnson*, 184 F.3d 398, 403 (5th Cir. 1999), *abrogated on other grounds by Richards v. Thaler*, 710 F.3d 573, 578-79 (5th Cir. 2013)). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment on that basis. *See Palacios*, 723 F.3d at 604.

AFFIRMED.