

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 15-60778
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 23, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JORDAN JIM,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Mississippi
USDC No. 3:11-CR-4-1

Before WIENER, HIGGINSON, and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Defendant-Appellant Jordan Jim appeals a special condition of supervised release that was imposed following the revocation of his supervised release. The district court did not abuse its discretion in prohibiting Jim from using social media applications and websites. *See United States v. Ellis*, 720 F.3d 220, 224 (5th Cir. 2013). The record indicates that the district court imposed this condition because Jim used Facebook to convey a threatening

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-60778

message and ultimately committed an assault and battery that was precipitated by his anger related to Facebook comments. *See United States v. Caravayo*, 809 F.3d 269, 275 (5th Cir. 2015). The prohibition on social media is therefore reasonably related to the nature and circumstances of one of his supervised release violations and his history and characteristics, the need for deterrence of criminal conduct, and the need to protect the public from further crimes. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3583(d)(1). The condition does not impose a “greater deprivation of liberty than is reasonably necessary” to deter Jim and protect the public. *See* § 3583(d)(2). The condition is narrowed in both scope and time: Jim is only prohibited from using social media, as distinguished from the entire internet, and he is prohibited from doing so for five years only.

The district court’s judgment is AFFIRMED.