

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

December 7, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 15-60219  
Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

SILVESTRE SARAT-AGUSTIN,

Petitioner

v.

LORETTA LYNCH, U. S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent

\_\_\_\_\_  
Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals  
BIA No. A098 652 215  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Silvestre Sarat-Agustin petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals's (BIA) decision dismissing his appeal of the immigration judge's (IJ) denial of his motion to reopen. We review the denial of a motion to reopen for abuse of discretion. *Zhao v. Gonzales*, 404 F.3d 295, 303 (5th Cir. 2005).

Sarat-Agustin received proper notice of the removal hearing; he personally received the Notice to Appear, which was written in English and

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-60219

contained notice of the removal hearing, and was orally advised of the hearing in a language that he understood. Accordingly, he had to file a motion to reopen within 90 days of the date of entry of his order of removal. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.23(b)(1), (b)(4)(ii). He failed to do so and failed to establish changed country conditions in Guatemala that would permit him to file an untimely motion to reopen. *See* § 1003.23(b)(4)(i). He has therefore failed to demonstrate any abuse of discretion in the denial of his motion to reopen. *See Zhao*, 404 F.3d at 303-04.

Sarat-Agustin's petition for review is DENIED.