

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 15-40476
Conference Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 21, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

EILEEN BETH PRUITT,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:13-CR-269-9

Before JONES, CLEMENT, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Eileen Beth Pruitt has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Pruitt has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Pruitt's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel;

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-40476

we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Pruitt's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Pruitt's untimely motion to appoint new counsel is DENIED.