

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 15-20100

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 5, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

VERNON KING, JR.,

Plaintiff-Appellant

v.

UNKNOWN PARTIES,

Defendant-Appellee

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:15-CV-109

Before JOLLY, DENNIS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Vernon King, Jr., Texas prisoner # 590316, moves this court for authorization to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) following the district court's dismissal of his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), a prisoner may not proceed IFP in a civil action or in an appeal of a judgment in a civil action if the prisoner has, on three or more prior occasions, while incarcerated, brought an action or appeal that was dismissed as frivolous or for failure to state a claim, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-20100

serious physical injury. *Banos v. O'Guin*, 144 F.3d 883, 885 (5th Cir. 1998). King has failed to show that he should be allowed to proceed IFP on appeal under § 1915(g) or that his appeal of the district court's judgment presents a nonfrivolous issue. *See id.*; *Carson v. Polley*, 689 F.2d 562, 586 (5th Cir. 1982). King's motion for leave to proceed IFP is denied.

The facts surrounding the IFP decision are inextricably intertwined with the merits of the appeal. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 & n.24 (5th Cir. 1997). The appeal presents no nonfrivolous issues and is dismissed as frivolous. 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

IFP DENIED; APPEAL DISMISSED.