

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 15-10134
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED
September 17, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JUAN CENICEROS-GONZALEZ,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:14-CR-2

Before DAVIS, JONES and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Juan Cenicerros-Gonzalez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Cenicerros-Gonzalez has filed a response.

The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Cenicerros-Gonzalez's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-10134

See United States v. Isgar, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 135 S. Ct. 123 (2014). Nor is the record sufficiently developed for us to address Cenicerros-Gonzalez's contentions that his guilty plea was induced by force, threats, or an unfulfilled promise that does not appear in the record. *See United States v. Corbett*, 742 F.2d 173, 176-78 (5th Cir. 1984). We therefore decline to consider these claims without prejudice to Cenicerros-Gonzalez's right, if any, to seek collateral review. *See Isgar*, 739 F.3d at 841; *Corbett*, 742 F.2d at 178 n.11.

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Cenicerros-Gonzalez's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, Cenicerros-Gonzalez's request for appointment of new counsel is DENIED, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.*