

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 14-60410
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

March 18, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

IRIS MUJICA TOLEDO,

Petitioner

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent

Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals
BIA No. A097 537 635

Before DAVIS, CLEMENT, and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Iris Mujica Toledo, a native and citizen of Paraguay, seeks review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) denying her applications for withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture (CAT). Toledo contends that the BIA erred in deferring to the immigration judge's adverse credibility determination given the testimonial and documentary evidence contained in the record. Toledo also argues that she

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 14-60410

proved that she was persecuted due to nationality and membership in a particular social group of family.

Before the BIA, Toledo only challenged the immigration judge's adverse credibility determination. As the BIA noted, Toledo failed to offer any "substantive arguments" challenging the immigration judge's alternative decision that, even if Toledo were deemed credible, she failed to establish that a central reason she was targeted by her assailants was due to a statutorily protected ground. *See Tamara-Gomez v. Gonzales*, 447 F.3d 343, 349-50 (5th Cir. 2006). Thus, as she failed to exhaust the immigration judge's alternative decision for denying withholding of removal, we lack jurisdiction to consider it. *See Omari v. Holder*, 562 F.3d 314, 318 (5th Cir. 2009).

Before this court, Toledo does not raise her claim for relief under the CAT. It is thus deemed abandoned. *See Soadjede v. Ashcroft*, 324 F.3d 830, 833 (5th Cir. 2003).

DENIED IN PART; DISMISSED IN PART FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION.