

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 14-41228
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 24, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ROBERTO VELIZ,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:14-CR-105-1

Before KING, CLEMENT, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Roberto Veliz has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Veliz has filed a response. To the extent Veliz may be deemed to argue that appellate counsel was ineffective, the record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Veliz's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 14-41228

therefore decline to consider the claim without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 135 S. Ct. 123 (2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Veliz's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.