

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 14-40317
Conference Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 21, 2015

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

CRAIG PIPPS, also known as Lone Wolf,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 1:12-CR-119-5

Before REAVLEY, SMITH, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Craig Pippis has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Pippis has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Pippis's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claim without prejudice to collateral

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 14-40317

review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 135 S. Ct. 123 (2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Pipp's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Pipp's request to relieve counsel and to proceed pro se is DENIED as untimely. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902-03 (5th Cir. 1998).