

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 14-10159  
Summary Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

December 2, 2014

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

REVEREND JAMES CORNELL CLARK,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 5:07-CR-27-1

---

Before PRADO, OWEN, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Reverend James Cornell Clark, federal prisoner # 13238-057, filed an 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) motion in the district court, seeking a reduction in the 120-month sentence that was imposed following his conviction for importation of an alien for immoral purposes. The district court denied the motion, and Clark now appeals. Clark has also moved for leave to amend his appellate brief to include additional requests for relief, and that motion is granted.

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

## No. 14-10159

Clark argues here, as he did in the district court, that his sentence should be reduced because his guidelines sentencing range was increased by enhancements triggered by judicially found facts in violation of *Alleyne v. United States*, 133 S. Ct. 2151, 2163 (2013). The district court properly denied Clark's motion because his *Alleyne*-based challenge to his sentence is beyond the scope of § 3582(c)(2). See *United States v. Hernandez*, 645 F.3d 709, 712 (5th Cir. 2011); *United States v. Whitebird*, 55 F.3d 1007, 1011 (5th Cir. 1995). In addition to his *Alleyne*-based sentencing challenge, Clark raises in his appellate brief numerous other challenges to his underlying conviction and sentence, none of which are based on any amendments to the Sentencing Guidelines. Because these other challenges are raised for the first time in Clark's appellate brief, we decline to consider them. See *United States v. Pardue*, 36 F.3d 429, 431 (5th Cir. 1994).

The Government moves for the dismissal of Clark's newly raised arguments, for summary affirmance, and, alternatively, for an extension of time for filing an appellate brief. We deny each of those motions and affirm the judgment of the district court denying relief under § 3582(c)(2).

AFFIRMED; MOTION TO AMEND APPELLANT'S BRIEF GRANTED;  
ALL OTHER OUTSTANDING MOTIONS DENIED.