

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 12-31109

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit
FILED
January 10, 2014
Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

STEPHEN MARSHALL GABARICK, etc.; ET AL,

Plaintiffs

v.

LAURIN MARITIME (AMERICA), INCORPORATED; ET AL,

Defendants

WHITEFIN SHIPPING COMPANY LIMITED, As owner and Managing
Owner of the M/V Tintomara, Petitioning for Exoneration from or Limitation
of Liability; LAURIN MARITIME (AMERICA), INCORPORATED, As Owner
and Managing Owner of the M/V Tintomara, Petitioning for Exoneration
from or Limitation of Liability; LAURIN MARITIME AB; ANGLO-
ATLANTIC STEAMSHIP LIMITED,

Petitioners – Appellee-Cross Appellants

v.

DRD TOWING COMPANY, L.L.C.,

Claimant - Appellee

v.

AMERICAN COMMERCIAL LINES, L.L.C.,

Appellant – Cross-Appellee

No. 12-31109

AMERICAN COMMERCIAL LINES, L.L.C., As Owner of Barge DM-932,
Praying for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability,

Petitioner – Appellant Cross-Appellee

v.

LAURIN MARITIME AB; WHITEFIN SHIPPING COMPANY LIMITED;
ANGLO ATLANTIC STEAMSHIP LIMITED; LAURIN MARITIME
(AMERICA), INCORPORATED,

Movants – Appellee-Cross Appellants

DRD TOWING COMPANY, INCORPORATED, as Owner Pro Hac Vice or
Alleged owner Pro Hac Vice of the M/V Mel Oliver,

Petitioner – Appellee

v.

AMERICAN COMMERCIAL LINES, L.L.C.,

Claimant – Appellant-Cross-Appellee

v.

LAURIN MARITIME AB; WHITEFIN SHIPPING COMPANY LIMITED;
ANGLO ATLANTIC STEAMSHIP LIMITED; LAURIN MARITIME
(AMERICA), INCORPORATED,

Movants – Appellee-Cross Appellants

AMERICAN COMMERCIAL LINES, L.L.C., As Owner of the M/V Mel Oliver
Praying for Exoneration from or Limitation of Liability,

Petitioner – Appellant-Cross Appellee

v.

No. 12-31109

LAURIN MARITIME (AMERICA), INCORPORATED; LAURIN MARITIME
AB; WHITEFIN SHIPPING COMPANY LIMITED; ANGLO ATLANTIC
STEAMSHIP LIMITED,

Movants – Appellee-Cross Appellants

Appeals from the United States District Court for the
Eastern District of Louisiana
USDC No. 2:08-CV-4007

Before JOLLY, SOUTHWICK, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

We have considered the thorough briefing, heard oral argument, and analyzed the extensive record in this case. For substantially the reasons set forth in the well-reasoned opinion of the district court, we AFFIRM.

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.