IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-20374 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED August 16, 2011

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

Page: 1

v.

ARMANDO GARCIA GRACIA, also known as Armando Garcia, also known as Armando Garcia Garcia, also known as Armanda Garcia-Gracia, also known as Amando Garcia-Garcia,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:09-CR-657-1

Before REAVLEY, SMITH and PRADO, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:^{*}

Armando Garcia Gracia pleaded guilty to one count of being illegally present in the United States and received a sentence of 50 months in prison, to be followed by a three-year term of supervised release. Garcia Gracia argues that the written judgment conflicts with the oral statements made at sentencing with respect to the sex offender registration requirement of supervised release.

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Case: 10-20374 Document: 00511573147 Page: 2 Date Filed: 08/16/2011

No. 10-20374

We remanded the case for the limited purpose of allowing the court to clarify the discrepancies between the oral statements and the written judgment. During this remand, the court clarified the written judgment, stating that Garcia Gracia was required to register if state or federal law mandated registration and the requirements applied to Garcia Gracia. If such a registration is required, the probation officer is authorized to provide necessary information and to direct Garcia Gracia to report to the agency in charge of sex offender registration for additional processing. With this clarification, Garcia Gracia's concerns about registration being subject to the discretion of the probation officer have been resolved, and there is no longer a conflict between the oral pronouncements at sentencing and the written judgment. Accordingly, we AFFIRM the original sentence as clarified.