

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

June 10, 2010

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 10-10093

Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ENRIQUE MARTINEZ ALONSO,

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:02-CR-201-1  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before KING, STEWART, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

In 2004, Enrique Martinez Alonso pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to violate rights, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 241, and was sentenced to 38 months in prison. Six years later, Alonso asked the district court for an extension of time to resubmit his appeal brief. The district court construed Alonso's request as a request for an out-of-time appeal and denied it pursuant to FED R. APP. P. 4(b). The district court also denied Alonso's request for appointed counsel.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Alonso did not file a notice of appeal from the instant conviction within 10 days after the entry of the criminal judgment. *See* FED. R. APP. P. 4(b)(1)(A)(i). His appeal also was noticed beyond the 30-day time limit for extending the appeal period under FED. R. APP. P. 4(b)(4). Thus, the district court did not err in enforcing the time limitations set forth in FED. R. APP. P. 4(b), and this court may not reverse its decision to do so. *See United States v. Leijano-Cruz*, 473 F.3d 571, 574 (5th Cir. 2006). His appeal is dismissed as untimely. Alonso's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED.

APPEAL DISMISSED; MOTION DENIED.