

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

September 30, 2008

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

---

No. 08-50351  
Summary Calendar

---

ELIJAH LANG,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
6:06-CV-34

---

Before DAVIS, GARZA, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Plaintiff-Appellant Elijah Lang appeals the decision of the district court affirming the finding of the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") that Lang is able to perform work for such sedentary level jobs as ticket seller, cashier, or assembler, for which there are a substantial number of available jobs in the state and national economy. Based on those findings, the ALJ ruled that Lang is not disabled within the meaning of the Social Security Act. In the course of the

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

deliberations at that level, the ALJ found Lang not credible. The Appeals Council denied review, after which Lang sought review in the district court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The district court affirmed the ALJ, and Lang timely filed a notice of appeal.

We have carefully reviewed the entire record on appeal, including the determinations of the ALJ and the district court, and the law as set forth in the appellate briefs of the parties and determined by our independent research.

Considering the well-known standard of appellate review of cases such as this, i.e., whether substantial evidence in the record viewed in its entirety supports the decision of the Commissioner and comports with relevant legal standards, we are convinced that neither the ALJ nor the district court committed reversible error in ruling for the Commissioner and against Lang on the issues properly preserved for appellate review. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court affirming the ALJ and the Commissioner is, in all respects, **AFFIRMED.**