

June 6, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 06-41622
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

FELIPE DE JESUS CONTRERAS-HURTADO, also known as Felipe
Contreras-Hurtado,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 5:06-CR-330-ALL

Before SMITH, BENAVIDES, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Appealing the Judgment in a Criminal Case, Felipe De Jesus Contreras-Hurtado (Contreras) preserves for further review his contention that his sentence is unreasonable because this court's post-Booker** rulings have effectively reinstated the mandatory Sentencing Guideline regime condemned in Booker. Contreras concedes that his argument is foreclosed by United States v. Mares, 402 F.3d 511 (5th Cir. 2005), and its progeny, which have

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

** United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005).

outlined this court's methodology for reviewing sentences for reasonableness. Contreras also raises arguments that are foreclosed by Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998), which held that 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2) is a penalty provision and not a separate criminal offense. The Government's motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED, and the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.