

April 20, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 05-60513

---

FIRST FEDERAL BANK FOR SAVINGS,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

EDDIE DEAN FORTENBERRY; ET AL,

Defendants;

EDDIE DEAN FORTENBERRY,

Defendant-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Defendant-Appellee.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Mississippi  
(2:02-CV-00115-EEF)  
-----

Before KING, WIENER, and DeMOSS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM\*:

Eddie Dean Fortenberry ("Fortenberry") appeals the district court's denial of her petition for pre-judgment interest. Having carefully reviewed the record and briefs, we affirm the district court's judgment because, although Fortenberry raised five points of error in her notice of appeal, she did not substantively address

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

any of these issues in her appellate brief, provide us with any authority in support of her position, or direct us to any pertinent portions of the record. Generally, issues not briefed are deemed to be abandoned on appeal, even in the case of a pro se litigant like Fortenberry.<sup>1</sup>

Fortenberry's only argument on appeal is that we should reverse the district court's judgment because First Federal Bank for Savings's ("First Federal") attorney obtained it by committing a fraud on that court. She has not cited to any evidence in support of her claim and we have found none in the record. We thus AFFIRM the district court's judgment denying Fortenberry pre-judgment interest.

---

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Geiger v. Jowers, 404 F.3d 371, 373 n.6 ("Although pro se briefs are to be liberally construed, pro se litigants have no general immunity from the rule that issues and arguments not briefed on appeal are abandoned.") (internal citation omitted); Price v. Digital Equip. Corp., 846 F.2d 1026, 1028 (5th Cir. 1988) (same); FED. R. APP. P. 28(a)(9)(A) (stating that appellant's brief must contain "appellant's contentions and the reasons for them, with citations to the authorities and parts of the record on which the appellant relies").