

May 3, 2007

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 05-51289  
Summary Calendar

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JAMIE BERNARD GRIMSLEY,

Defendant-Appellant.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:05-CR-511-ALL  
-----

Before DAVIS, BARKSDALE and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Jamie Bernard Grimsley appeals the 60-month sentence imposed upon his guilty-plea conviction for possession with intent to distribute 100 kilograms or more of marijuana. See 21 U.S.C. § 841. He argues that he received two criminal history points based on the district court's erroneous finding that he was on probation for traffic offenses at the time that he committed the instant offense. He argues that but for those two points, he would have been eligible for a two-level "safety valve" decrease

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(7), which would have resulted in a lesser sentence.

In rejecting Grimsley's argument, the district court relied on the state court judgment and probation violator's warrant for three separate case numbers, all of which involved Grimsley's convictions of violations of various traffic laws. The judgment set forth one sentence for all three case numbers, multiple fines that correlated with the respective case numbers, and one outstanding amount owed on those fines. Although the probation violator's warrant bore the number of a case that was not scored in the criminal history section of the presentence report, the warrant included a list of the offenses charged in the case that was scored as well as a notation of the outstanding fine owed on all three cases.

The documents relied on by the district court had sufficient indicia of reliability to support their probable accuracy. See United States v. Angeles-Mendoza, 407 F.3d 742, 749-50 (5th Cir. 2005). The district court's finding that the warrant related to all three cases was plausible in light of the record as a whole. Id. at 750. Grimsley has not shown that the district court's factual findings and inferences therefrom were clearly erroneous. See Angeles-Mendoza, 407 F.3d at 750; United States v. Caldwell, 448 F.3d 287, 290 (5th Cir. 2006).

AFFIRMED.