United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

June 20, 2006

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 05-41549 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

SILVESTRE OCAMPO-SOTELO,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 1:05-CR-173-ALL

Before STEWART, DENNIS, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Silvestre Ocampo-Sotelo (Ocampo) appeals his sentence for illegal reentry in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b).

Ocampo challenges the constitutionality of § 1326(b)'s treatment of prior felony and aggravated felony convictions as sentencing factors rather than elements of the offense that must be found by a jury in light of Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000).

The Government argues that the waiver provision in Ocampo's plea agreement precludes his attack on the constitutionality of

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

§ 1326(b) and that, as a result of the waiver, Ocampo lacks standing to challenge the constitutionality of § 1326(b). We assume, <u>arguendo</u> only, that the waiver does not bar the instant appeal.

Ocampo's constitutional challenge is foreclosed by

Almendarez-Torres v. United States, 523 U.S. 224, 235 (1998).

Although Ocampo contends that Almendarez-Torres was incorrectly decided and that a majority of the Supreme Court would overrule Almendarez-Torres in light of Apprendi, we have repeatedly rejected such arguments on the basis that Almendarez-Torres remains binding. See United States v. Garza-Lopez, 410 F.3d 268, 276 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 126 S. Ct. 298 (2005). Ocampo properly concedes that his argument is foreclosed in light of Almendarez-Torres and circuit precedent, but he raises it here to preserve it for further review.

AFFIRMED.