United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

**December 14, 2005** 

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 04-11532 Conference Calendar

ERNEST RAYMOND DODD,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

COLE JETER, Warden, Federal Medical Center Fort Worth,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:04-CV-896

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Before KING, Chief Judge, and HIGGINBOTHAM and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Ernest Raymond Dodd, federal prisoner # 17742-077, appeals the denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition. He argues that his sentence is invalid in light of Blakely v. Washington, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), and United States v. Booker, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005). Dodd's argument is directed toward a sentencing error; such an argument may not be brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. See Padilla v. United States, 416 F.3d 424, 425-26 (5th Cir. 2005). Dodd's argument that he is entitled to proceed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

based on the savings clause of 28 U.S.C. § 2255 because relief under that section is "inadequate or ineffective" is unavailing.

Id. at 427 (holding that a claim under Booker does not fit within the savings clause of 28 U.S.C. § 2255). The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.