

October 19, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 03-60666  
Summary Calendar

---

TESFAYE DAWIT GEBREMESKEL; DANIEL DAWIT GEBREMESKEL;  
SELAMAWIT GEBREMESKEL; SAMIEL DAWIT GEBREMESKEL,

Petitioners,

versus

JOHN ASHCROFT, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent.

-----  
Petition for Review of Decisions of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

No. A71 978 772

No. A71 978 773

No. A71 978 774

No. A71 978 751  
-----

Before WIENER, BENAVIDES, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Tesfaye Dawit Gebremeskel, Daniel Dawit Gebremeskel,  
Selamawit Gebremeskel, and Samiel Dawit Gebremeskel, who are  
Ethiopians of Eritrean descent, petition for review of the  
decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) affirming the  
decision of the Immigration Judge (IJ) denying them asylum,  
withholding of deportation, and relief under the Convention

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that  
this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except  
under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Against Torture. They contend that the BIA's use of streamlined procedures, affirming the IJ's decision without opinion, deprived them of their right to an administrative appeal and amounted to mass-production appellate review; that the BIA erred by affirming the IJ's decision rejecting their asylum and withholding-of-deportation claims; and that the BIA erred by affirming the IJ's decision rejecting their relief under the Convention Against Torture. We deny the petition for review for the following reasons.

First, the BIA's summary-affirmance procedures "do not deprive this court of a basis for judicial review and . . . do not violate due process." Soadjede v. Ashcroft, 324 F.3d 830, 833 (5th Cir. 2003). Second, the Gebremeskels have failed to demonstrate a well-founded fear of persecution on any ground if they were to return to Ethiopia. See Faddoul v. INS, 37 F.3d 185, 188 (5th Cir. 1994). Third, the Gebremeskels have failed to demonstrate any likelihood of torture were they to return to Ethiopia. See Efe v. Ashcroft, 293 F.3d 899, 997 (5th Cir. 2002).

PETITION DENIED.