United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

February 18, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 03-51014 Conference Calendar

ALLAN RAY MCFARLAND,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. W-03-CV-269

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, EMILIO M. GARZA, and PRADO, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

Allan Ray McFarland was sentenced to 15 years of imprisonment in 1988 following his guilty-plea conviction for possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine. He discharged his sentence on that conviction and is currently incarcerated following a conviction for being a felon in possession of a firearm. McFarland filed a petition for a writ of error <u>coram nobis</u> challenging the validity of his 1988 guilty-plea conviction as being unsupported by a sufficient

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

factual basis. The district court denied McFarland's petition, and McFarland now appeals.

McFarland contends that the factual basis offered in support of his guilty plea was insufficient because the Government did not prove each element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. We conclude that the factual basis was sufficient as it alleged facts supporting each element of the offense and it alleged that the Government could prove those facts beyond a reasonable doubt. <u>See United States v. Martinez-Mercado</u>, 888 F.2d 1484, 1491 (5th Cir. 1989); <u>United States v. Dayton</u>, 604 F.2d 931, 943 (5th Cir. 1979). McFarland's guilty plea "obviate[d] the need to have specific 'beyond a reasonable doubt' proof on the . . . statutory elements of the crime." <u>See Clicque v. United States</u>, 514 F.2d 923, 928 n.5 (5th Cir. 1975).

McFarland has not shown that his 1988 drug conviction was invalid. Consequently, the district court's denial of his petition for a writ of error <u>coram nobis</u> is affirmed. <u>United</u> <u>States v. Morgan</u>, 346 U.S. 502, 506-13 (1954); <u>United States</u> v. Marcello, 876 F.2d 1147, 1154 (5th Cir. 1989).

AFFIRMED.