

**March 22, 2004**

Charles R. Fulbruge III  
Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 03-50773  
Summary Calendar

---

JOHN T. JOSEY,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

BELL COUNTY TEXAS, RICK MILLER, County Attorney;  
DAN SMITH, Sheriff, JANE DOE, Secretary; R. W. PATTERSON,  
Jail Administrator; BELL COUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT CENTER;  
PENNYBAKER, Corporal; FNU ANDREWS, Officer; FNU MINTER,  
Officer; PLANAS, Officer; FISCHBACH; FNU MARTINEZ, Officer,

Defendants-Appellees.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Texas  
USDC No. W-02-CV-365  
-----

Before BARKSDALE, EMILIO M. GARZA, and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

John T. Josey filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that, while he was being housed at the Bell County Law Enforcement Center as a pre-trial detainee, various prison officials and governmental entities violated his civil rights. Josey's complaint also contained several state law claims.

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

The district court dismissed Josey's complaint with prejudice for failure to state a claim pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6), and Josey timely appealed.

Josey has filed a motion to compel this court to acknowledge that his handwritten appellate brief was compliant with the rules of this court. That motion is GRANTED. All of Josey's other outstanding motions before this court are DENIED.

Liberally construed, Josey has presented an argument that the district court erred in dismissing his complaint for failure to state a claim. After a de novo review of the record and the briefs before us, we conclude that the district court correctly dismissed Josey's complaint pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6). See Lowrey v. Texas A & M Univ. Sys., 117 F.3d 242, 246 (5th Cir. 1997); Fernandez-Montes v. Allied Pilots Ass'n, 987 F.2d 278, 284 (5th Cir. 1993); Manax v. McNamara, 842 F.2d 808, 812 (5th Cir. 1988).

AFFIRMED.