United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

June 23, 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 03-41071 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

HERMANEGILDO ALANIZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. C-02-CR-340-5

-----

Before BARKSDALE, DeMOSS, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Hermanegildo Alaniz appeals his guilty plea conviction for conspiracy to possess more than 100 kilograms of marijuana.

Alaniz argues that 21 U.S.C. §§ 841, 952, and 960 were rendered facially unconstitutional by Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S.

466, 490 (2000). Alaniz concedes that his argument is foreclosed by our opinion in United States v. Slaughter, 238 F.3d 580, 581-82 (5th Cir. 2000), and he raises the issue to preserve it for further review.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

A panel of this court cannot overrule a prior panel's decision in the absence of an intervening contrary or superseding decision by this court sitting en banc or by the United States Supreme Court. Burge v. Parish of St. Tammany, 187 F.3d 452, 466 (5th Cir. 1999). No such decision overruling Slaughter exists. Accordingly, Alaniz's argument is indeed foreclosed. The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.

The Government has moved for a summary affirmance in lieu of filing an appellee's brief. In its motion, the Government asks that an appellee's brief not be required. The motion is GRANTED.

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED.