United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

March 18, 2004

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 03-40445 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

PER CURIAM:\*

OMAR RODRIGUEZ-TAMEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. L-02-CR-1146-1

\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Before SMITH, DeMOSS, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

Omar Rodriguez-Tamez appeals his sentence for his guiltyplea conviction of conspiracy to possess with intent to
distribute more than five kilograms of cocaine in violation of 21
U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841 (b)(1)(A), and 846. Rodriguez-Tamez
argues that the district court clearly erred in imposing a twolevel offense-level enhancement based on his role as a manager or
supervisor in the offense, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(c).

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

The record does not contain sufficient reliable evidence to support the district court's finding that Rodriguez-Tamez organized, led, managed, or supervised at least one other person who was criminally culpable in, though not necessarily convicted for, the offense. See United States v. Jobe, 101 F.3d 1046, 1068 (5th Cir. 1996); U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1, comment. (ns.1-2).

Accordingly, Rodriguez-Tamez's sentence is VACATED and this case is REMANDED for resentencing as to this issue, without prejudice to the possibility that an upward departure might be warranted for Rodriguez-Tamez's management responsibility of the property, assets or activities of the conspiracy. See Jobe, 101 F.3d at 1068 & n.30; U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1, comment. (n.2).

VACATED AND REMANDED.