United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

## FILED

June 23, 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

No. 03-30986 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

PER CURIAM:\*

EDGAR FABRICIO MELGAR-ARRAZOLA, also known as Mario Melara, also known as Edgar F. Melar,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana

USDC No. 02-CR-248-ALL

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Before BARKSDALE, DeMOSS, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

Edgar Fabricio Melgar-Arrazola ("Melgar") appeals from his guilty-plea conviction of illegally reentering the United States after having been deported and after having been convicted of an "aggravated felony," a violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Melgar contends that the district court erred by denying his motion to suppress evidence of his deportation and to dismiss the indictment. He argues that his 1999 deportation proceeding violated the Due Process Clause because the immigration judge

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

erroneously failed to inform him that he was eligible for discretionary relief from deportation under former § 212(c) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("INA"). Melgar concedes that his contention is foreclosed by this court's case law, but he raises the claim to preserve it for further review.

An immigration judge's error in failing to inform an alien of eligibility for forms of discretionary relief under the INA does not violate the alien's right to due process. <u>United States v. Lopez-Ortiz</u>, 313 F.3d 225, 230-31 (5th Cir. 2002), <u>cert.</u> <u>denied</u>, 537 U.S. 1135 (2003). The district court did not err by denying Melgar's motion to suppress evidence of his deportation and to dismiss his indictment.

AFFIRMED.