

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

Consolidated Cases Nos. 02-60404, 02-60411,  
02-60419, 02-60457, 02-60458, 02-60481,  
02-60517, 02-60536  
Summary Calendar

---

Case No. 02-60404

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

JESSE WILLIAMS,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60411

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

SUSIE GEORGE,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60419

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

LINDA HAWKINS,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60457

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

CURRY JOE ATTERBURY,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60458

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

RUBIN ASHFORD,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60481

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

MARY BURT,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60517

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

L.D. TAYLOR, JR.,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Case No. 02-60536

BANK ONE NA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

MARY McMORRIS,

Defendant-Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Mississippi  
(3:01-CV-24-D)

---

January 29, 2003

Before JONES, STEWART and DENNIS, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Appellants challenge the district court's rulings granting Bank One's motions to compel arbitration and to stay the Appellants' pending state law claims. This case is indistinguishable from those that we reviewed and ruled on in the related cases of Bank One, N.A. v. Boyd<sup>1</sup> and Bank One, N.A. v. Lake.<sup>2</sup> For essentially the same reasons that are set forth in our opinion in Boyd and in the district court's opinion in Bank One,

---

\*Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the Court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

<sup>1</sup>288 F.3d 181 (5th Cir. 2002).

<sup>2</sup>No. 01-60051 (5th Cir. April 5, 2002) (unpublished).

N.A. v. Coates,<sup>3</sup> and Bank One, N.A. v. Taylor,<sup>4</sup> the judgment of the district court in this case is, in all respects, **AFFIRMED**.

---

<sup>3</sup>125 F. Supp. 2d 819 (S.D. Miss. 2001).

<sup>4</sup>No. 4:01CV15-D-B (N.D. Miss. May 7, 2002) (order granting petition to compel arbitration).