United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT **December 2, 2003**

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 02-50941 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CESAR MARQUEZ-URQUIDI,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. MO-01-CR-79-ALL

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, DAVIS, and PRADO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Cesar Marquez-Urquidi (Marquez) appeals the district court's denial of his motion to dismiss the indictment against him which charged him with violating 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a). Marquez argues that his indictment was invalid because the underlying deportation order, which was based on his having been convicted of felony driving while intoxicated, is invalid under <u>United</u> <u>States v. Chapa-Garza</u>, 243 F.3d 921, 927 (5th Cir. 2001).

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

To challenge the validity of an underlying deportation order, an alien must establish that: (1) the prior deportation hearing was fundamentally unfair; (2) the hearing effectively eliminated the alien's right to seek judicial review of the removal order; and (3) the procedural deficiencies caused actual prejudice. <u>United States v. Lopez-Vasquez</u>, 227 F.3d 476, 483 (5th Cir. 2000); 8 U.S.C. § 1326(d).

Marquez fails to show that his deportation hearing was fundamentally unfair inasmuch as the hearing did not violate his procedural due process rights. <u>See United States v. Lopez-Ortiz</u>, 313 F.3d 225, 230 (5th Cir. 2002), <u>cert. denied</u>, 537 U.S. 1135 (2003). The court need not reach Marquez's remaining arguments. <u>See Lopez-Ortiz</u>, 313 F.3d at 231; <u>Lopez-Vasquez</u>, 227 F.3d at 485. AFFIRMED.