United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

April 21, 2003

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 02-50771 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CHARLES ROTHENBACH,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. SA-97-CR-203-2

Before DAVIS, BARKSDALE, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Charles Rothenbach, federal prisoner No. 79245-080, appeals the district court's denial of his post-conviction motion for a reduction of his sentence or downward departure from the guidelines range. He asserts that the court should have reduced his sentence based on his employment history, family and community ties, and exceptional family circumstances.

The district court is prohibited from modifying a term of imprisonment once it has been imposed except in certain limited

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

circumstances. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c). Rothenbach's postconviction motion for a sentence reduction or downward departure does not fall under any provision of 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) and, thus, was unauthorized and without a jurisdictional basis. <u>See</u> <u>United States v. Early</u>, 27 F.3d 140, 141-42 (5th Cir. 1994). Although the district court considered the motion on its merits, it should have denied the motion for lack of jurisdiction. <u>See</u> <u>id.</u> at 142. On that alternative basis, the district court's order is

AFFIRMED.