United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

August 20, 2003

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 02-41793 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

DOMINGO JIMENEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. C-02-CR-221-1

Before JONES, WIENER, and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Domingo Jimenez appeals his guilty plea conviction for possession of less than 100 grams of heroin. Jimenez argues that 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 (a) and (b) were rendered facially unconstitutional by Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466, 490 (2000). Jimenez concedes that his argument is foreclosed by our opinion in United States v. Slaughter, 238 F.3d 580, 581-82 (5th Cir. 2000)(revised opinion), cert. denied, 532 U.S. 1045 (2001), which rejected a broad Apprendi-based attack on the

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

constitutionality of that statute. He raises the issue only to preserve it for Supreme Court review. A panel of this court cannot overrule a prior panel's decision in the absence of an intervening contrary or superseding decision by this court sitting en banc or by the United States Supreme Court. Burge v. Parish of St. Tammany, 187 F.3d 452, 466 (5th Cir. 1999). No such decision overruling Slaughter exists. Accordingly, Jimenez's argument is foreclosed.

AFFIRMED.