United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

August 1, 2005

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 02-41272 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ADALBERTO BARRAGAN-ALVAREZ, also known as Ignacio Ortiz,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 2:02-CR-107-1

\_\_\_\_\_

Before JOLLY, JONES, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Adalberto Barragan-Alvarez (a/k/a Ignacio Ortiz) appeals the sentence imposed by the district court following his guilty plea to an indictment charging that he possessed with the intent to distribute approximately 37 kilograms of cocaine. Barragan-Alvarez argues that his sentence violates the Sixth Amendment, as illustrated in <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), because the district court enhanced his sentence based on a finding that he obstructed justice. Although he admits that he

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

gave two different names to authorities, he argues that he never admitted that his conduct qualified as obstruction of justice. He also argues that the court's consideration of the possibility that he was engaged in another drug offense for which the charges were dismissed violated the Sixth Amendment and Booker.

Barragan-Alvarez acknowledges that he is raising these arguments for the first time on appeal and that our review is thus for plain error. See United States v. Mares, 402 F.3d 511, 520 (5th Cir. 2005), petition for cert. filed (Mar. 31, 2005) (No. 04-9517).

Assuming, arguendo, that Barragan-Alvarez has established Booker error with respect to either of his claims, he must nevertheless show that the court's error affected his substantial rights. See Mares, 402 F.3d at 520. To make such a showing, Barragan-Alvarez must show that the error "affected the outcome of the district court proceedings." United States v. Olano, 507 U.S. 725, 734 (1993); see also United States v. Valenzuela-Quevedo, 407 F.3d 728, 733 (5th cir. 2005). Barragan-Alvarez has not made such a showing. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.