United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 24, 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 02-41055 c/w No. 02-41057 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CHESTER JIM SHERIDAN,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. V-01-CR-65-ALL USDC. No. V-02-CR-10-1

Before DAVIS, BARKSDALE, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

In separate proceedings, Chester Jim Sheridan was convicted by guilty plea of being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1), 924(a)(2), and of mailing a threatening communication to his wife. His appeals from his respective judgments of conviction were consolidated.

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

Relying on the Supreme Court's decisions in <u>Jones v. United</u>
<u>States</u>, 529 U.S. 848 (2000); <u>United States v. Morrison</u>, 529 U.S.
598 (2000); and <u>United States v. Lopez</u>, 514 U.S. 549 (1995),
Sheridan argues that 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) is an unconstitutional exercise of Congress's Commerce Clause power because the regulated activity does not substantially affect interstate commerce. Alternatively, he argues that his indictment was defective for failing to allege that his specific offense substantially affected interstate commerce.

Sheridan raises his arguments solely to preserve them for possible Supreme Court review. As he acknowledges, his arguments are foreclosed by existing Fifth Circuit precedent. See United States v. Daugherty, 264 F.3d 513, 518 (5th Cir. 2001), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 1150 (2002). Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.

AFFIRMED.