United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 24, 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Charles R. Fulbruge III Clerk

No. 02-20906 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ROMAN GALVAN-SANCHEZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas

USDC No. H-02-CR-51-1

Before DAVIS, BARKSDALE, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Roman Galvan-Sanchez pleaded guilty to illegal reentry to the United States in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326 following deportation subsequent to an aggravated felony conviction.

Galvan-Sanchez argues that his prior felony conviction for possession of a controlled substance did not merit the eightlevel adjustment provided in U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2(b)(1)(C) for an aggravated felony. He argues that he should have received only

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

the four-level adjustment provided in U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2(b)(1)(D) for "any other felony." Galvan-Sanchez concedes that his argument is foreclosed by <u>United States v. Caicedo-Cuero</u>, 312 F.3d 697, 706-11 (5th Cir. 2002), <u>petition for cert. filed</u>, (U.S. March 19, 2003)(No. 02-9747), but raises the argument to preserve it for Supreme Court review. Because <u>Caicedo-Cuero</u> is still good law and one panel of this court cannot overrule another absent superceding Supreme Court or <u>en banc</u> authority, Galvan-Sanchez's argument is foreclosed. <u>See United States v. Ruff</u>, 984 F.2d 635, 640 (5th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, the district court did not err in assessing an eight-level adjustment to Galvan-Sanchez's sentencing guideline calculation.

AFFIRMED.