IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 02-20095 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

CARLOS D. QUINTANILLA-ALCANTARA,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. H-01-CR-356-ALL

January 15, 2003

Before KING, Chief Judge, and JOLLY and WIENER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Carlos D. Quintanilla-Alcantara ("Quintanilla") appeals his conviction and sentence for possession with intent to distribute 500 grams or more of cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841. He argues that 21 U.S.C. § 841 is unconstitutional in light of the Supreme Court's decision in Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). This argument is foreclosed by this court's decision

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

in <u>United States v. Slaughter</u>, 238 F.3d 580, 582 (5th Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 532 U.S. 1045 (2001).

Quintanilla argues that the district court clearly erred in refusing to award a two-level reduction in his guideline range on the basis that he was a minor participant in the offense. See U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1. However, a defendant "may be a courier without being substantially less culpable that the average participant." United States v. Brown, 54 F.3d 234, 241 (5th Cir. 1995). The district court did not err in refusing to give Quintanilla the minor role adjustment. See United States v. Gallegos, 868 F.2d 711, 713 (5th Cir. 1989). Further, the district court was not required to state its reasons for denying the reduction because it expressly adopted the findings and conclusions of the presentence report. See United States v. Gallardo-Trapero, 185 F.3d 307, 323-24 (5th Cir. 1999).

AFFIRMED.