## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_

No. 01-60127

LEOPOLDO PEREZ-GONZALEZ,

Petitioner,

versus

JOHN ASHCROFT, ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

March 7, 2002

Before REAVLEY, WIENER, and PARKER, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Leopoldo Perez-Gonzalez petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) holding that Perez-Gonzalez's state conviction for felony driving while intoxicated was an aggravated felony warranting his removal from this country. The respondent has moved to dismiss the petition for review and to remand the case to the BIA for reconsideration in light of <u>United States v. Chapa-Garza</u>, 243 F.3d 921, 927 (5th Cir. 2001). Perez-Gonzalez has replied to the respondent's

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

motion to remand. He does not dispute the respondent's contention that this case should be remanded to the BIA, but he does argue that his petition for review should be granted rather than dismissed.

Perez-Gonzalez's petition for review is GRANTED. The order of the BIA is VACATED, and this case is REMANDED to the BIA for disposition consistent with <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/journal.com/chapa-Garza">Chapa-Garza</a>, 243 F.3d at 927. All other outstanding motions are DENIED.