IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> No. 01-50913 Conference Calendar

ROBERTO TORRES, III,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

WARDEN FOR FCI, LA TUNA U.S. PENITENTIARY,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. EP-01-CV-42-DB

December 12, 2002

Before JOLLY, JONES, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Roberto Torres, III, appeals from the denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition wherein he sought to vacate his conviction for possession with intent to distribute marijuana and using or carrying a firearm in relation to a drug crime, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 and 846 and 18 U.S.C. § 924.

Torres argues that the district court erred in determining that his <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), and <u>United</u>

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

<u>States v. Castillo</u>, 530 U.S. 120 (2000), claims did not meet the criteria for bringing a claim pursuant to the "savings clause" of 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

To the extent that Torres attempts to rely on <u>Apprendi</u>, his argument is foreclosed by this court's decision in <u>Wesson v.</u> <u>U.S. Penitentiary Beaumont, TX</u>, 305 F.3d 343, 346-47 (5th Cir. 2002), wherein we held that <u>Apprendi</u> is not retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review and that an <u>Apprendi</u> violation does not show that a petitioner was convicted of a nonexistent offense.

With regard to his <u>Castillo</u> argument, Torres cannot show that, pursuant to a Supreme Court case that is retroactively applicable to cases on collateral review, he has been convicted of a non-existent offense. <u>See United States v. Reyes-Requena</u>, 243 F.3d 893, 903 (5th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, Torres has not shown that the district court erred in dismissing his petition.

AFFIRMED.