IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

> No. 01-41379 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

JOHN ERIC MACIAS,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC Nos. L-96-CR-19-1 C-01-CR-231 October 30, 2002

Before DeMOSS, BENAVIDES, and STEWART, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

Appointed counsel for John Eric Macias has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief as required by <u>Anders v.</u> <u>California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Macias received a copy of counsel's motion and brief and has filed a response. He submits that he does not challenge the conduct for which revocation of his supervised release was sought. Macias argues instead that because of his cooperation with the Government he must serve his

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

sentence in solitary confinement. This issue does not go to Macias's direct appeal. Because Macias did not raise claims of ineffective assistance of counsel in the district court, no record was made relative to this issue. This court does not resolve claims of ineffective assistance of counsel on direct appeal if the record is not sufficiently developed. <u>See United</u> <u>States v. Haese</u>, 162 F.3d 359, 363 (5th Cir. 1998).

Our independent review of the brief, the issue raised in Macias's response, and the record discloses no nonfrivolous issue. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein. The APPEAL IS DISMISSED. <u>See</u> 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.