IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

	No. 01-41253 Summary Calendar	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
		Plaintiff-Appellee,
	versus	
CESAR SANCHEZ-SANCHEZ,		
Appellant.		Defendant-
Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. L-01-CR-508-ALL		
Before JOLLY, WIENER, and STEV	August 23, 2002 WART, Circuit Judges.	

PER CURIAM:*

Cesar Sanchez-Sanchez (Sanchez) appeals his conditional guilty-plea conviction and sentence for illegal reentry following deportation after an aggravated-felony conviction. He first asserts that the district court committed plain error in its imposition of criminal history points based upon a conviction that occurred more than ten years before the prior conviction. Sanchez cannot show plain error arising from his sentence because the sentence received was lower than the sentence range he

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

believes was correct and because the district court could have reimposed the same sentence. <u>See</u> <u>United States v. Leonard</u>, 157 F.3d 343, 345-46 (5th Cir. 1998).

Sanchez also asserts that the district court erred in denying his motion to suppress his immigration file, his identity, and his fingerprints as a result of the illegal stop of the vehicle in which he was a passenger. Sanchez's fingerprints were admitted by the district court only for identification purposes. Evidence of a defendant's identity, including his immigration file are not suppressible, even if the evidence is found as the result of an illegal detention or arrest. <u>United States v. Herrera-Ochoa</u>, 245 F.3d 495, 498-99 (5th Cir. 2001); <u>United States v. Roque-Villanueva</u>, 175 F.3d 345, 346 (5th Cir. 1999).

Sanchez asserts that his indictment is deficient because it does not allege general intent. He concedes that his contention is foreclosed by <u>United States v. Guzman-Ocampo</u>, 236 F.3d 233 (5th Cir. 2000), <u>cert. denied</u>, 533 U.S. 953 (2001). <u>See also United States v. Berrios-Centeno</u>, 250 F.3d 294, 297 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 122 S. Ct. 288 (2001). Sanchez raises this issue only to preserve it for review by the Supreme Court.

AFFIRMED.