IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-40244 Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

ROBERT DANIEL SALING, JR.,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:98-CR-46-ALL

October 31, 2001

Before DAVIS, BENAVIDES, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:*

Robert Daniel Saling, Jr. (Saling) appeals his conviction after a jury trial of being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g). Saling argues that the district court erred in denying his motion to suppress evidence that was gathered after a traffic stop. His arguments regarding the suppression of his statement about the pistol found in his vehicle and the failure of the district court to instruct the jury on whether the traffic stop was valid have not been

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

adequately briefed and are therefore deemed abandoned. <u>See Yohey</u>
<u>v. Collins</u>, 985 F.2d 222, 225 (5th Cir. 1993).

Saling argues that the lane movement observed by the arresting officer did not constitute a traffic violation under Texas Transportation Code § 545.060 because the lane movement was not unsafe or dangerous. The cases cited by Saling are distinguishable from this case. In this case, the arresting officer subjectively believed that Saling was driving under some kind of impairment, possibly intoxication, and he based this suspicion on objective reasons, including the time of day, the location, and the vehicle's movements. See Hernandez v. State, 983 S.W.2d 867, 870 (Tex. App. 1998, pet. ref'd); cf. State v. Tarvin, 972 S.W.2d 910, 912 (Tex. App. 1998, pet. ref'd); State v. Arriaga, 5 S.W.3d 804, 806 (Tex. App. 1999, pet. ref'd); and Ehrhart v. State, 9 S.W.3d 929, 930 (Tex. App. 2000, pet. ref'd).

The judgment of the district court is therefore AFFIRMED.