IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-30540 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

PER CURIAM:*

ERIC KYLES, also known as Dybees,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana USDC No. 99-CR-253-1-F

February 20, 2002

Before JOLLY, JONES, and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

Eric Kyles appeals his guilty-plea conviction for one count of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine and six counts of use of a communication facility to facilitate the commission of a violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). He was convicted of these charges in the Eastern District of Louisiana. Kyles argues that his prosecution and conviction violate the Double Jeopardy Clause because he also was prosecuted and convicted in the Southern District of Alabama for conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base, which

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

he contends was part of the same drug conspiracy. <u>See</u> U.S. Const. amend. V. Kyles also contends that his sentence in the Louisiana case was fundamentally unfair because the district court did not consider his cooperation with the Government in the Alabama case.

The Double Jeopardy Clause protects against a second prosecution after acquittal or conviction and against multiple punishments for the same offense. See Franshaw v. Lynaugh, 810 F.2d 518, 523 (5th Cir. 1987). "Naturally, no question of double jeopardy arises unless jeopardy has first attached sometime prior to what the defendant seeks to characterize as the 'second' prosecution." Id. at 523. If a defendant pleads guilty, jeopardy attaches when the plea is accepted. Id. Because jeopardy attached first in the Louisiana proceedings, Kyles cannot establish a double jeopardy violation from his conviction and sentence in Louisiana. Additionally, his assertion that his sentence was fundamentally unfair is without merit. Therefore, we AFFIRM Kyles' conviction and sentence.

Upon reconsideration, Kyles' motion to supplement the record is GRANTED.

MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD GRANTED; AFFIRMED.