## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 01-30267 Conference Calendar

KENNETH STONE,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

BURL CAIN,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana USDC No. 00-CV-1649-K

\_\_\_\_\_\_

February 20, 2003

Before WIENER, EMILIO M. GARZA, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Kenneth Stone, Louisiana prisoner # 85667, appeals the dismissal of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 application. Stone filed the application to challenge his conviction on heroin possession and distribution charges. The district court granted a certificate of appealability (COA) as to whether <u>Campbell v. Louisiana</u>, 523 U.S. 392 (1998), applied retroactively to Stone's claims.

Stone argues that <u>Campbell</u> announced a new rule of law for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(C) and submits that the rule

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

of <u>Campbell</u> applies retroactively. He contends that his application was timely under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(C) as it was filed within one year of the date that the right was recognized by the United States Supreme Court in <u>Campbell</u>.

In <u>Campbell</u> the Supreme Court held, on direct review from a criminal conviction, that a white defendant possessed standing to object to discrimination against black people in the selection of his grand jury. <u>See Campbell</u>, 523 U.S. at 400. After the grant of COA, we held that <u>Campbell</u> does not apply retroactively to cases on collateral review. <u>See Peterson v. Cain</u>, 302 F.3d 508, 512-15 (5th Cir. 2002), <u>cert. denied</u>, 123 S. Ct. 886 (2003). The holding of <u>Peterson</u> disposes of the issue on which COA was granted. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.