

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 01-30257  
Summary Calendar

---

LESLEY MARION

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

OCHSNER CLINIC OF BATON ROUGE ET AL

Defendants

OCHNSER CLINIC OF BATON ROUGE;  
JOHN A. DEAN, M.D.; FEDERAL BUREAU  
OF PRISONS,

Defendants-Appellees

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Louisiana  
USDC No. 95-CV-692

---

November 21, 2001

---

Before KING, Chief Judge, and DAVIS and EMILIO M. GARZA, Circuit  
Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Lesley Marion appeals from the district court's order  
granting summary judgment in favor of the defendants in his  
medical malpractice action brought pursuant to the Federal Tort  
Claims Act (FTCA), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671, et seq. Under the  
FTCA, liability for medical malpractice is controlled by the law

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined  
that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent  
except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR.  
R. 47.5.4.

of the state in which the alleged malpractice occurred - Louisiana in this case. See Ayers v. United States, 750 F.2d 449, 452 n.1 (5th Cir. 1985). In order to recover damages in a medical malpractice case under Louisiana law, among other elements, the plaintiff must use medical expert evidence to establish the standard of care applicable to the defendant health-care providers. La. Rev. Stat. Ann. 40:1299.39 (West 2001); see Bailey v. State, 695 So. 2d 557, 559 (La.Ct.App. 1997).

Marion does not contest the fact that he failed to provide the district court with medical expert evidence on the issue of the applicable standard of care. Rather, he argues that such evidence was not required in his case because the negligence he suffered is of such a nature as to be obvious to a layperson. We disagree. The complex medical and factual issues involved in establishing how thoroughly the defendants were required to search Marion's person for the missing, implanted Penrose drain is beyond the province of lay persons to assess. Thus, Marion was required under Louisiana law to provide medical expert evidence to establish the standard. See Pfiffner v. Correa, 643 So. 2d 1228, 1234 (La. 1994).

We have reviewed the record, the briefs of the parties, and the applicable law, and we discern no reversible error. See Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 324 (1986); Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). The district court judgment is AFFIRMED.