IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 01-21230 Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

versus

LEROY STRONG,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. H-01-CR-524-1

October 29, 2002

Before DeMOSS, BENAVIDES, and STEWART, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Leroy Strong appeals his conviction and sentence for being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). Strong argues that his conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) must be reversed because it is unconstitutional to convict a defendant under that statute when the firearm's only connection with interstate commerce was that at some point in the past it crossed state lines. Relying on Jones v. United States, 529 U.S. 848, 857 (2000), and United

 $^{^{*}}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549 (1995), Strong argues that this court's current interpretation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) violates the Commerce Clause because such an interpretation would apply to 95% of the firearms in this country.

This court has repeatedly rejected constitutional challenges to 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) convictions based on Lopez and Jones, concluding that the interstate commerce element is satisfied by the possession of a firearm that was manufactured in a different state or country. See United States v. Cavazos, 288 F.3d 706, 712 (5th Cir. 2002), cert. denied, (U.S. Oct. 7, 2002) (No. 02-5348); see also United States v. Daugherty, 264 F.3d 513, 518 (5th Cir. 2001), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 1150 (2002). Thus, Strong's argument fails. The conviction is AFFIRMED.